

Summary of Research on Successful High Schools

This research represents a broad look at the literature on high schools. Critically, what does matter about these research findings is that they show that these features matter for ALL kids but have particularly powerful effects for our most vulnerable kids.

10 Features of Good Small Schools: Redesigning High Schools, What Matters and What Works

By Linda Darling-Hammond, School Redesign Network
Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education (SCOPE)

Full Study located here:

https://edpolicy.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/10-features-good-small-schools-redesigning-high-schools-what-matters-and-what-works_0.pdf

Introduction:

“We dare many of our children to learn in schools that were designed at the turn of the last century explicitly on the factory model - schools in which we put children on a conveyor belt and move them from one overloaded teacher to the next, from 45 minute class period to 45 minute class period, to be stamped with separate disconnected lessons six or seven or eight times a day.”

The introduction to this article points out that institutional structures often present barriers to learning and success. The authors point to the idea that a broad body of research suggests that smaller schools are better. Yet, they caution that “small is not enough.” This article points out 10 features of successful high schools.

Feature 1: Personalization

The goal of personalization is to create schools that support the development of “meaningful, sustained relationships among teachers and students.” These successful small schools also typically have smaller classes so that teachers see fewer students each day. Schools create smaller class sizes by thinking about staffing in creative ways.

For greater personalization, these small high schools also reorganize the structure of the school day by having teachers teach fewer students for longer blocks of time. In these schools, students generally take fewer courses at one time.

Successful high schools also find ways for teachers to collaborate closely in curriculum and student support.

Feature 2: Continuous Relationships

Successful high schools structure ways for teacher/student relationships to develop over time through “looping.” “Looping” means that teachers teach the same students for multiple years. In this way both teachers and students develop stronger and more lasting bonds.

These schools also use “advisories” as a way for students to receive ongoing academic counseling and supports. Advisories are usually 10-15 students with a faculty advisor (teacher, staff, counselor, admin) and

meet regularly each week. In many schools students stay with the same advisor for multiple years. Advisors also can communicate regularly with parents and family members and serve as advocates for their students advisees.

Feature 3: High Standards and Performance Based Assessments

Successful high schools articulate clear and rigorous goals. They have common expectations of high standards for all students. These schools work continuously to create and communicate standards that teachers, students and families understand.

In these schools, there is a focus on student work. Teachers and students continually discuss, review and analyze student work.

Successful high schools also employ “performance based assessments.” Generally, performance based assessments include:

- portfolios of student work that demonstrate in-depth study through research papers, scientific experiments et.
- rubrics that illustrate the set of standards against which student work will be measured
- oral presentations and exhibitions by students to a committee of parents, teachers, students etc.
- opportunities for students to revise their work and improve in order to demonstrate that their learning meets the standard.

Feature 4: Authentic Curriculum

Teachers in successful schools use engaging curriculum and challenge students to understand concepts deeply. Authentic curriculum focuses on deep understandings, integrating evidence, weighing different ideas and developing analytical skills.

Authentic curriculum is intellectually challenging work. Usually this work includes large integrated projects. Additionally, curriculum is linked to students’ lives and interests. The idea of Authentic curriculum is “less is more” - it forgoes the idea of superficially covering large quantities of information. Instead, authentic curriculum focuses on personalized instruction, partnerships with community organizations and ways for students to better understand the world that they live in.

Authentic curriculum includes internships and community service projects. It also prepares students for higher education through connections to colleges and universities.

Feature 5: Adaptive Pedagogy

Adaptive pedagogy focuses on instruction that is based on understanding the different ways that people learn. This type of instruction uses many different instructional strategies including group work, inquiry, discussions, projects, independent work, internet research, construction of models, use of technology, arts integration etc.

In successful high schools, academic skills are explicitly taught to all students. Teachers work to make sure that all students can access and understand the work. These schools also have a culture of “revision and

redemption” - students can always redo their work to ultimately show that they understand the material. Students also receive extra supports when they need it.

Feature 6: Multicultural and Anti-racist Teaching

This feature focuses on high expectations for ALL students. Multi-cultural / Anti-racist teaching acknowledges how discrimination and racism affects the outcomes for marginalized student groups in schools. In order to combat this discrimination and racism, multi-cultural and anti-racist teaching using “culturally responsive pedagogy.” Culturally responsive pedagogy means that teachers form and maintain connections with their students, they celebrate their students as individuals and members of specific cultures. These teachers regularly incorporate instructional materials that represent a variety of viewpoints.

In order to do this work, teachers and schools have a good knowledge of their community. They have an active approach to teaching. In these schools there is no tracking and students and teachers are involved in democratic decision making.

Feature 7: Knowledgeable and Skilled Teachers

Successful high schools have highly qualified teachers who know how to make content accessible, they understand the needs of diverse learners and they know how to support the learning process. These teachers have good knowledge of their content and also effective instructional strategies to meet the needs of all students.

Feature 8: Collaborative Planning and Professional Development

Effective teachers have time to collaborate and plan together. They work together to carefully craft lessons.

Feature 9: Family and Community Connections

Successful high schools create strong family and school partnerships. These schools treat families as experts on their own child’s needs. In these schools parents and teachers look at student work together. Parents are invited to contribute to the classroom. Families cultures and languages are valued and respected in meaningful ways.

These high schools find ways to have time for parents and teachers and students to meet regularly to talk. There are opportunities for parents to work in “co-constructing” the schools. Additionally, these schools support family learning opportunities and activities.

Feature 10: Democratic Decision Making

In these schools there are shared norms and values that have been developed by the whole community. Teachers play an important role in school governance. There is also meaningful involvement of students, parents and families in governance and decision making at the school.

Research Sources:

Title	Authors	Organization	Date
On the Same Track: How High Schools Join the Twenty-First Century Struggle Against Resegregation	Carol Corbett Burris		2014
High Schools for Equity: Policy Supports for Student Learning in Communities of Color	Diane Friedlaender and Linda Darling-Hammond	Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education and Justice Matters	2007
"Student-Centered Schools: Closing the Opportunity Gap	Diane Friedlaender,	Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education	2014
Redesigning High Schools: What Matters and What Works	Linda Darling-Hammond, with assistance from Matt Alexander and Donielle Prince	School Redesign Network at Stanford University	2002
High School Size, Content, and Structure: What Matters for Student Success?	Linda Darling Hammond, Michael Milliken, and Peter Ross	Brookings Papers on Education Policy, p163-203	2006-2007.
Book: Be the Change: Reinventing School for Student Success	Linda Darling-Hammond, Nicky Ramos-Beban, Rebecca Padnos Altamirano, Maria E. Hylar	Teachers College Press	2015
Building a Districtwide Small Schools Movement,"	Seema Shaw, Kavitha Mediratta, Sara McAlister	Annenberg Institute for School Reform	2009
Oakland Unified School District New Small Schools Initiative Evaluation,"	Ash Vasudeva, Linda Darling-Hammond, Stephen Newton, and Kenneth Montgomery		
Headed to College: The Effect of New York City's Small High Schools of Choice on Post Secondary Enrollment	Rebecca Unterman	MDRC	2014

http://www.mdrc.org/sites/default/files/Headed_to_College_PB.pdf			
Windows on Conversions: A Multi-Media Exploration of Redesign at Four Comprehensive High Schools		Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education	2006
A Framework for More And Better Learning Through Community School Partnerships	Reuben Jacobson and Martin J. Blank	Coalition for Community Schools and Institute for Educational Leadership	2015
Getting It Right: States Take Steps to Redesign Systems of Accountability and Support	Channa Cook-Harvey, Elizabeth Leisy Stosich	SCOPE	
Accountability for College and Career Readiness: Developing a New Paradigm	Darling-Hammond, Wilhoit, and Pittenger	SCOPE	

Additional References:

Adai Tefera, Erica Frankenberg, Genevieve Siegel-Hawley, Gina Chirichigno *Integrating Suburban Schools: How To Benefit from Growing Diversity and Avoid Segregation* The Civil Rights Project/*Proyecto Derechos Civiles*, 2011. Link to document [Integrating Suburban Schools: How to Benefit from Growing Diversity and Avoid Segregation](#)

Darling Hammond, Linda. *Beyond the Bubble Test How Performance Assessments Support 21st Century Learning*. San Francisco, CA:, Jossey-Bass, 2014.

Darling-Hammond, L. and Friedlaender, D.. *Creating Excellent and Equitable Schools*. Educational Leadership. Volume 65 | Number 8 **Reshaping High Schools** Pages 14-21, 2008.

Darling-Hammond, L. *The Right To Learn*. San Francisco, CA, Jossey-Bass, 1997.

Darling-Hammond, L. *The Flat World and Education How America’s Commitment to Equity Will Determine Our Future (Multicultural Education)*. New York, NY., Teacher’s College Press, 2010.

Daro, V. & Wei, R.C. (2015, June 29). How can teachers learn deeply? By scoring student assessments [Web log post]. Retrieved from http://blogs.edweek.org/edweek/learning_deeply/2015/06/how_can_teachers_

Friedlaender, D., Burns, D., Lewis-Charp, H., Cook-Harvey, C. M., Zheng, X., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2014). *Student-centered schools: Closing the opportunity gap*. Stanford, CA: Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education.

Frankenberg, E. , *Integration Defended: Berkeley Unified’s Strategy to Maintain Diversity*. Los Angeles, CA: Civil Rights Project/*Proyecto Derechos Civiles* at UCLA, 2009.

Hamedani, MarYam G. and Darling-Hammond, Linda. *Social Emotional Learning in High School: How Three Urban High Schools Engage, Educate, and Empower Youth*. Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education [@scope_stanford](http://edpolicy.stanford.edu) (retrieved from) <https://edpolicy.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/publications/scope-pub-social-emotional-learning-execsummary.pdf>

Noguera, Pedro. *Ties That Bind, Forces That Divide: Berkeley High School and the Challenge of Integration*, San Francisco, CA: University of San Francisco School of Law University of San Francisco Law Review, 1995.

Noguera, Pedro. *Unfinished Business: Closing the Racial Achievement Gap In Our Schools*. San Francisco, CA, Jossey-Bass, 2006.

Oakes, J. (1985). *Keeping track: How schools structure inequality*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Wei, R.C., & Cor, K. (2015). [Assessing what matters: Literacy Design Collaborative \(LDC\) writing tasks as measures of student learning](#). Stanford, CA: Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, and Equity.

Wei, R.C., Pecheone, R.L., & Wilczak, K.L. (2015). [Measuring what really matters](#). *Phi Delta Kappan*, 97(1), 8-13.